

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,396	12/17/2001		Bao-Feng Lee	0462.1- P - 5909	4895
7:	590	09/29/2003			
Lee, Bao-Feng 235 Chung-Ho Box 8-24 Taipei,				EXAMI	INER
				LONEY, DONALD J	
TAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1772	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Q L ON	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t beneath the correspondence address—
Peri dfr Reply	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	, a reply within the statutory min	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status	18, 2003	ي دِه
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>		
Disp sition of Claims		
(S)	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) (, 2	is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
	•	are subject to restriction or election
		requirement.
Application Papers		
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	= '	
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approve	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	is 🗆 approve	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of is/are of is/are of is pecification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine er.	or.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine er.	a)-(d).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( s of the priority documents	(a)-(d). s have been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( s of the priority documents umber)	(a)-(d). s have been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( s of the priority documents umber)	(a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	is □ approved bjected to by the Examine er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( s of the priority documents umber)	(a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	is approved bjected to by the Examine er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( s of the priority documents umber)	(a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	is approved bjected to by the Examine er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( s of the priority documents umber)  International Bureau (PC)	(a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,396

Art Unit: 1772

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, lines 2 to 3, the "two surfaces of the decorating sheet have two embossment figures" is recited. This is confusing since later in the claim the figures are recited as "symmetric and matched to each other." The examiner believes this claim was intended to recite each surface has a figure, not two figures on each surface as is recited. Clarification is kindly requested since on page 6, lines 14-18 of the Specification, it seems to indicate as the Examiner interprets.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slipp or Kline.

Both references teach an embossed pattern in a plastic material. Slipp discloses that the deeper parts (i.e., thin regions) have a light effect while shallow parts (i.e., thicker regions) produce a darker effect. Refer to Figures Nos. 2, 4A and 4B along with

Application/Control Number: 10/016,396

Art Unit: 1772

column 2, lines 18-29 and lines 50-64 in Slipp. Kline teaches a molded plastic sheet with an embossed pattern therein. Refer to panel (12) in Figures 1 and 3 in Kline. Kline does fail to mention the dark and light regions, however the examiner deems them

inherent in the prior art since it contains the same structure there as. Also, in Figure No.

1, it does appear to show light and dark regions according to the degree of

embossment.

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either

Kline or Slipp.

The primary references teach the invention substantially as recited except for the

figure on both sides of the sheet. See the 35 USC 102 rejection above.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the

figure on both sides of the sheet in order to view the figure from either side since the

prior art teaches the figure on one side for viewing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner D.

Loney at telephone number (703) 308-2416.

D. Loney/dh

September 12, 2003

DONALD J. LONEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

now fly

Page 3